

Ι

SHÁH 'ABBÁS THE GREAT

1920.9.17 -044 (Brit. Mus.)

Frontispiece

A LITERARY HISTORY OF PERSIA

Volume IV *Modern Times* (1500–1924)

EDWARD G. BROWNE



CAMBRIDGE AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS 1959



T

SHÁH 'ABBÁS THE GREAT

Volume IV *Modern Times* (1500–1924)

BY EDWARD G.^{na}BROWNE



CAMBRIDGE AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS

PUBLISHED BY THE SYNDICS OF THE CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Bentley House, 200 Euston Road, London, N.W. 1 American Branch: 32 East 57th Street, New York 22, N.Y.

First published under the title of A Hi	istory
of Persian Literature, 1500–1924	1924
Re-issued	1928
Reprinted	1930
	1953
	1959

First printed in Great Britain at The University Press, Cambridge Reprinted by Spottiswoode, Ballantyne & Co., Ltd., Colchester

I DEDICATE THIS VOLUME TO MY MOTHER IN TOKEN OF A GREAT DEBT OF GRATITUDE AND LOVE همانم که از چشم نگذاشتی' مدامم در آغوش بر داشتی' گرامیتر**ت بودم از ج**ان خویش' نبودت ز من ^{هیچ} کس بیش بیش' مرا هوش وجان و روان با نو است' دلم آشکار و نهان بًا نو است' Firdawsí, *Yúsuf u Zulaykhá* (ed. Ethé, p. 240, ll. 2421-2 and 2426). پستان بدهان گرفتن آموخت گویند مرا چو زاد مادر بيدار نشست وخنتن آموخت شب بر سر کاهوارهٔ من برغنيجة كُل شكفنن آموخت لب خند نهاد بر لب من ّنا شيوه راه رفتن آموخت دستم بگرفت و پا بپا برد الناظ نهاد وگفتن آموخت یك حرف و دو حرف بر دهانم هستی من زهستی اوست پس نا هستم و هست دارمش دوست Íraj Mírzá Jalálu'l-Mamálik.

M

6097

929

V. 4

 ${R}$

PREFACE

HIS volume concludes the task which I undertook more than twenty-two years ago, and which represents the labour of a life-time, for ever since I began the study of Persian in the summer of 1880, being then only eighteen years of age, the desire to write a complete Literary History of Persia has increasingly possessed me. The first instalment, "from the earliest times until Firdawsí," carried the history down to the early days of the eleventh century of the Christian era, and was published in 1902; and the continuation, down to the Mongol Invasion in the middle of the thirteenth century, in 1906, both these volumes being published by Mr Fisher Unwin. Fourteen years elapsed ere the third volume, entitled A History of Persian Literature under Tartar Dominion (A.D. 1265-1502), saw the light. The reasons which led me to issue it in a form and under a title differing somewhat from its predecessors are explained on p. viii of the Preface, but essentially it constitutes the third volume of the Literary History of Persia, just as this, which deals with the last four centuries (A.D. 1500-1924), and is entitled, as foreshadowed in the same Preface (p. ix), A History of Persian Literature in Modern Times, is to be regarded as the fourth and last volume of the work.

Although I cannot regard this present volume as superior to its three predecessors in form or interest, and am fully aware of its defects, I think that it contains more new matter and represents more original research than the others. Owing to the opinion prevalent not only in Europe, but to a considerable extent in Turkey and India also, that poetry is the only department of Persian literature which merits much attention, and that little poetry worth reading has been produced since the time of Jámí, the literature of the last four centuries has been very much neglected, and

PREFACE

viii

the sources of which I have made use are almost exclusively Persian, and, until the nineteenth century is reached, when printing and lithography were gradually introduced into Persia, chiefly manuscript. In the formation of my Persian library I have always had regard to the requirements of my work rather than to mere beauty of illumination, illustration, or hand-writing, and I have been singularly fortunate in acquiring the very interesting collection of the late Sir Albert Houtum Schindler and a number of the rare and precious manuscripts collected by the late Hájji 'Abdu'l-Majíd Belshah. To Mr A. G. Ellis I am indebted for the PREFACE

ix

a visit from the learned Shaykh Kázim ad-Dujaylí, an Arabic-speaking Shí'a of 'Iráq who has recently joined the teaching staff of the London School of Oriental Studies, and I enquired of him what, in his opinion, were the best Arabic books on Shí'a doctrine. He at once named the five following works, none of which I had previously heard of, much less seen, though all have been printed or lithographed in Persia:

(1) Kashfu'l-Ghițá fi Akhbári Áli'l-Mustafà, by Shaykh Ja'far al-Kabír.

(2) Kitábu'l Oawánín hu al-Oummi

and the state of the second of the second of the second se I wish that I could have profited more by the counsel of my Persian friends, especially Mírzá Muhammad Khán of Qazwín and Hájji Mírzá Yahyá of Dawlatábád, during the progress of this work, but to my old acquaintance Husayn Dánish Bey of the Ottoman Public Debt, a notable man of letters both in Persian and Turkish, I am indebted for many valuable and illuminating observations. Another old friend, Sayyid Hasan Taqí-záda, fortunately chanced to visit this country after an absence of some fourteen years while the last sheets of this book were passing through the Press, and he most kindly read through the proois and favoured me with numerous observations and corrections which will be noticed under the Errata and Addenda. From well-read and intelligent Persians the European student of their language can learn many things not to be found in books, at any rate in books to which he has access, while their taste and judgement, even if at times he cannot wholly agree with them, are almost always suggestive and deserving of consideration. Only a few days ago I received

book, or who by their skilful craftsmanship have given it form and substance. The writing of it has been a pleasure, and the completing of it is a source of thankfulness and satisfaction. Even its errors and imperfections will, I trust, by provoking criticism and stimulating research, serve to advance and extend our knowledge of the subject, and if, as I hope, I have been single-minded in this aim, I shall prefer the reasoned criticism of competent scholars to the undiscriminating praise of over-zealous friends, even as Sa'dí says:-

حُفِيتَ أذيّ يا من تعدُّ محاسنى' علانيّتى هذا و لم تَدْرِ باطنى'

"Thou who recountest my virtues, thou dost me harm in sooth : Such is my outward seeming, but thou hast not known the truth."

EDWARD G. BROWNE.

June 12, 1924.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAP.

1. Some general considerations on the Sofawi Democratic

1		
	V.	CENTURIES (A.D. 1722–1922)

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Frontispiece Sháh 'Abbás I ("the Great") I.

II. Shaykh Abdál Pír-záda presenting the Uzbek leader's horse to Sháh 'Abbás . To face page 42

,, 112 III. Sháh 'Abbás II ,,

ERRATA AND ADDENDA

(The letters T.z. in brackets at the end of a note indicate that the correction was suggested by Taqí-záda.)

p. 170, l. 14. "*Read*) معابر ('ways,' 'passages') for معابر ('Tombs'), which gives no good sense." [T.z.] The washing of the feet before praying is a Sunní practice; the Shí'a confine themselves to mere stroking of the foot (mash) with the damp hand. The classing of

Stratic			
IX. Autograph of the poet Wişál	33 33 33 37	300	mourning for the Holy Family' (Murawwij-i-'Azá-dárí-yi-Ahl-i- Bayt)." [T.z.]
X. Hájji Mírzá Áqásí	,, ,,	328	p. 220, last paragraph. "Mention should be made of the poems of
XI. Autograph of the poet Yaghmá	,, , ,	338	Safi-'Alf Shah, and of his versified Persian commentary on the
XII. Muzaffaru'd-Dín Mírzá with his tutor Ridá- qulí Khán "Hidáyat"	33 33	344	Qur'án." [T.z.] (I can find no mention of him in the Majma'u'l- Fusahá, the Riyádu'l-'Arifin, the Bustánu's-Siyáhat, or any of the Catalogues at my disposal.)
XIII. Autograph of Mullá Muḥammad Báqir-i- Majlisí		404.	p. 221, "Rúdagí," and p. 299, "Rúdakí" should be identical in spelling, and I believe that the latter form is the more correct.
XIV. Autograph of Mullá Sadrá	» »	408	p. 222. "Mention should be made of V. Zhukovski's collection of
XV. Autograph of Shaykh Bahá'u'd-Dín-i-'Ámilí	""	428	Persian Tasnifs, with Russian translations, published at St Peters-
XVI. Autograph of Mullá Muhsin-i-Fayd	» » »	432	burg in 1902. Berezine also published nine Tasnifs with English
			¹ It is, however, ascribed, as I have ascribed it, to Isma'fl Khán Sarbáz by Edwards in his <i>Catalogue of Persian Books in the British</i> <i>Museum</i> , col. 302-3. The life of Mullá Áqá-yi-Darbandí, who died at an advanced age sometime before A.D. 1873, is given in the <i>Qişaşu'l</i> -

ERRATA AND ADDENDA

xiv

translations set to music and adapted to the piano." [T.z.] (I find that I possess the former work, which is entitled OBPA3UM HEP-CULCATO HAPOGHATO TBOPYECTBA, but I cannot identify the latter.)

p. 338. "Two half-verses (*misrá*^t) have been accidentally omitted after
1. 7. The two verses should run thus" [*T.z.*]:—

عکس تو فتاده است در آئینهٔ عالم' [ز آنروی همه زنقحبه گشته است پدیدار' زنقحبه مُحالست ز تو دست بدارم'] تا آنکه بزنقحبگی خود کنی اقرار'

- p. 355, l. 1. There is some difference of opinion as to the proper vocalization of the place-name which I have written "Tanukábun." Taqízáda thinks it should be "Tunukábun," while Ridá-qulí Khán in his Anjuman-árá-yi-Náșiri gives it as "Tanakábun."
- pp. 369-370. "The titles '*Muhaqqiq-i-Ardabili*' and '*Muqaddas-i-Ardabili*' both belong to Mullá Ahmad, so that the first line on p. 370 should read "The same *mujtahid* of Ardabíl, also entitled *Muhaqqiq*," etc.
- p. 370, last line. "Hájji Mírzá Hasan-i-Shírází and Hájji Mírzá Hasani-Ashtiyání are not to be mentioned in the same breath. The former was to the latter as a king is to a petty local governor." [*T.z.*]
- p. 373. "Áqá Jamál-i-Khwánsárí was the author of the well-known book on the superstitions of Persian women entitled Kitáb-i-Kulthúm Nana. His father, Áqá Husayn-i-Khwánsárî, was called Ustádu'l-Kull fi'l-Kull ('the Master of All in All'), and, besides many facetiæ, wrote glosses on the Shahíd-i-thánt's commentary on the Lum'a." [T.z.]
- p. 378, ll. 19 et seqq. "Many similar catechisms (with such titles as Risála-i-'amaliyya, Mas'ila, Nukhba, and the like) have been composed in the last century, and as many as a hundred may have been printed. One of the best known is the Jámi'u'sh-Shattát of Mírzá Abu'l-Qásim ibnu'l-Husayn ar-Riḍawí al-Qummí, author of the Kitáb-i-Qawánín." [T.z.] Concerning the last-named writer, see Edwards's Catalogue of Persian printed books, cols. 60 and 61.
- p. 393, ll. 8-9. "'Alí Awsat succeeded his father Husayn as Imám, not 'Alí Akbar, who, together with the infant 'Alí Asghar, perished at Karbalá." [*T.z.*]

ERRATA AND ADDENDA

- p. 407, l. 14. "The Jámi"-i-'Abbásí was completed in 20 chapters, and has been printed repeatedly, but the first five chapters are often published separately for the instruction of children in elementary religious duties." [T.z.] According to Edwards (op. cit., cols. 407-8) chapters vi-xx were subsequently added to Shaykh-i-Bahá'í's unfinished work by Nizám b. Husayn-i-Sáwají.
- p. 407, fourth line from the end, and p. 435, l. 5. "The Abwábú'l-Janán was not by Mullá Muhsin-i-Fayd, but, so far as I remember, by Mullá Husayn Wá'iz-i-Káshifí, the author of the well-known Anwár-i-Suhayli." [T.z.] The real author appears to have been Muhammad b. Fathu'lláh Rafí'u'd-Dín, called 'Wá'iz-i-Qazwini' ('the Preacher of Qazwín'). See Edwards, op. cit., cols. 405-6.
- p. 410. "Sayyid Muhammad Báqir of Rasht was only a third- or fourth-rate theologian, and Mullá Ahmad-i-Niráqí (p. 411) only of the second class. Much more important, though omitted here, are :--
 - (i) Áqá-yi-Bihbihání, the founder of the Uşúlí and Mujtahidí School, who flourished at the end of twelfth century of the hijra.
 - (ii) Shaykh Ja'far-i-'Arab (also called *al-Kabir*, 'the Great'), who was contemporary with Fath-'Alí Sháh.
 - (iii) Shaykh Muhammad Hasan, author of the Jawáhiru'l-Kalám, a large work in six volumes on Shí'a Jurisprudence (see p. ix supra).
 - (iv) Shaykh Murtadá al-Anşárí, the founder of present-day Shí'a Law, and the Master of all the *mujtahids* of the last seventy years with the exception of—

(v) Shaykh Hádí of Ţihrán, who was also of the first class."

- p. 430. "Shaykh Ahmad al-Ahşá'í was not an admirer and follower but a great enemy of Mullá Şadrá. Of modern Persian philosophers mention should have been made of Mírzá Abu'l-Hasan-i-Jilwa, who died only some twenty years ago." [*T.z.*] I met him in Tihrán in the winter of 1887-8. See my *Year amongst the Persians*, p. 149.
- p. 435. "One of the best of Mullá Muḥsin's works is the *Kalimát-i-Maknúna* ('Hidden Words'), of which mention should have been made here." [*T.s.*]
- p. 441. "Dr Muḥammad of Kirmánsháh, called *Kufwi*, who died in 1326/1908, specialized in cardiac diseases, and first called attention

xv

ERRATA AND ADDENDA

xvi

to a peculiar murmur (called in French '*empiolement*') characteristic of embolism, on which he published a monograph in French¹. He also wrote several medical treatises on the Diseases of Women and Children in Persian." [T.z.]

- p. 454, l. 1. "For I'timádu'd-Dawla read I'timádu's-Saltana." [T.z.]
- p. 468. "Newspapers existed in Persia before A.D. 1851, in the reign of Muhammad Sháh (A.D. 1835-1848) and even in the later days of his predecessor Fath-'Alí Sháh. See the Káwa newspaper passim, especially No. 6 of the New Series (Dawra-i-Jadid)." [T.z.] The article in question appeared in the issue of June 8, 1921, pp. 14-16. It mentions a rather vague report of a Persian newspaper published at Dihlí in A.D. 1798, and a much more definite report of one published in Tihrán in 1253/1837-8.
- p. 486, end. "The articles to which reference is here made were not by Mírzá Muhammad Khán but by myself, writing under the penname of *Muhasşil* ('Student')." [*T.z.*]²
- p. 488. "To say 'Mírzá Kázim-záda,' 'Sayyid Jamál-záda,' 'Taqi-záda Khán' and the like is as contrary to Persian usage as to say in English 'Sir Grey' for 'Sir Edward Grey' and the like. Such titles as 'Mírza,' 'Sayyid' and Hájji can only be prefixed, as 'Khán,' 'Beg' and the like can only be suffixed, to personal names, such as Hasan, 'Alí and Muhammad, not to patronymics." [*T.z.*]²

¹ I have been unable to find any trace of this alleged discovery or of the French term connoting it (which I think should be *empilement*), though I have consulted two eminent physicians on the subject.

² Taqí-záda's letter was received in time to correct the two passages to which the two concluding notes refer, but I have allowed them to stand because the first specifies the true authorship of the articles in question, while the second lays down a rule of which I had hitherto been unaware.

PART I.

AN OUTLINE OF PERSIAN HISTORY DURING THE LAST FOUR CENTURIES

CHAPTER I.

SOME GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS ON THE ŞAFAWÍ DYNASTY.

The rise of the Safawí dynasty in Persia at the beginning of the sixteenth century of the Christian era was an event

of the greatest historical importance, not only

Historical importance of the Şafawí dynasty.

to Persia herself and her immediate neighbours, but to Europe generally. It marks not only the restoration of the Persian Empire and the re-creation of the Persian nationality after an eclipse of more than eight centuries and a half, but the entrance of Persia into the comity of nations and the genesis of political relations which still to a considerable extent hold good. Mr R. G. Watson in the brief retrospect with which he opens his excellent History of Persia from the beginning of the Nineteenth Century to the year 1858^1 shows a true appreciation of the facts when he takes this period as his starting-point, for in truth it marks the transition from mediaeval to comparatively modern times. The Arab conquest in the middle of the seventh century after Christ overthrew the Zoroastrian religion and the Sásánian Empire, and reduced Persia to the position of a mere province of the Caliphate, until the Caliphate itself was destroyed by the Mongols or Tartars in the middle of the thirteenth century. Both before and after this momentous event there were, it is true, independent or quasi-independent dynasties ruling in Persia, but these were generally of Turkish or Tartar origin, like the Ghaznawis, Saljúqs, Khwárazmsháhs, and Houses of Chingiz and Tímúr; or, if Persian like the Buwayhids, exercised control over a portion only of the old Persian Empire. To the

¹ London : Smith and Elder, 1866.

I-2

4 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PTI

Safawí dynasty belongs the credit of making Persia "a nation once again," self-contained, centripetal, powerful and respected, within borders practically identical in the time of Sháh 'Abbás the Great (A.D. 1587-1628) with those of the Sásánian Empire. It was then that Isfahán, whither he transferred the seat of government from Qazwín, became, as the Persian saying runs, "Half the world" (*Nisf-i-Jahán*), or "Medio mundo" as Don Juan of Persia has it, abounding in splendid buildings and skilful craftsmen, frequented by merchants from distant lands, and visited by diplomatic missions, not only from India, Transoxiana and Turkey, but from almost every European state from Russia to Spain and Portugal.

Yet, in spite of its importance and the abundant materials available, no good complete history¹ of the Safawí dynasty

Lack of a satisfactory complete history of the dynasty.

has yet been written. The outlines given by Sir John Malcolm and Sir Clements Markham in their histories of Persia are inadequate in scope and inaccurate in detail, and are based on very

limited materials, and those not by any means the most authentic. The abundance and variety of the materials, the inaccessibility of many important sources of information, and the polyglot character of the documents concerned constitute serious obstacles to one who aspires to treat

Four important unpublished Persian sources.

adequately of this period. The four most important contemporary Persian records of its earlier portion, down to the death of Sháh

'Abbás the Great, are the *Safwatu's-Safá*, containing the biography of Shaykh Safiyyu'd-Dín, that celebrated saint of the thirteenth century from whom the dynasty derives its name; the *Nasab-náma-i-Silsila-i-Safawiyya* on the genealogy of the family, with valuable biographical details of its earlier representatives not to be found elsewhere; the

¹ Of Krusinski's and Hanway's admirable accounts of the later Safawí period I shall speak in chap. iii.

CH. 1] CHIEF CONTEMPORARY HISTORIES

Ahsanu't-Tawárikh, completed in A.D. 1577, only about a year after the death of Sháh Tahmásp, whose reign together with that of his father and predecessor Sháh Isma'íl, the founder of the dynasty, it records; and the Ta'rikh-i-'Alamárá-yi-'Abbási, an immense monograph on the reign of Sháh 'Abbás the Great. Not one of these has been published', much less translated, and all except the last are very rare even in manuscript. Of the Nasab-náma and the 'Alam-ára I am fortunate enough to possess copies which formerly belonged to the late Sir Albert Houtum-Schindler, while the incomparable generosity of Mr A. G. Ellis placed at my disposal manuscripts of the two other histories mentioned

Untrustworthiness of later Persian compilations.

above. And though the authors of later general histories in Persian, such as Ridá-qulí Khán in his supplement to Mírkhwánd's *Rawdatu's-Safá*, have made use of some of these works, they too

5

often not merely abridge but grievously distort the passages they cite.

Of such wanton distortion the following is a good instance. In July, A.D. 1599, Sháh 'Abbás the Great sent to Europe

A flagrant example of perverted history. a mission accredited to the Courts of Russia Poland, Germany, France, Spain, England and Scotland, and to the Pope of Rome and the Seniory of Venice. This mission included

Husayn 'Alí Beg² as Persian Envoy, with four Persian gentlemen or "knights" (*caballeros*, as they are called in Don Juan of Persia's narrative), fifteen Persian servants, the celebrated Sir Anthony Sherley with fifteen English attendants, two Portuguese friars, and five interpreters.

¹ Since this was written I have received through a Persian correspondent a copy of the excellent lithographed edition of the *Safwatu's*-*Safá* published at Bombay in 1329/1911.

² Don Juan calls him (f. 120^b) "Uzen Aly Bech," but Antonio di Govea has "Ussein Alibeg," which shows clearly that the first part of the name is *Husayn*, not *Uzún*, as I had at first supposed.

6 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PT 1

Travelling by way of the Caspian Sea and the Volga, they first visited Moscow, where they remained for five or six months; thence through Germany to Italy, where they were not permitted to go to Venice for fear of offending an Ottoman envoy who happened to be there at the time, but were well received at Rome, where they arrived in April, 1601, and remained for two months. Thence they proceeded by ship from Genoa to the south of France and so to Spain, where three of the four "Persian knights" adopted the Catholic faith and took the names of Don Philippe, Don Diego and Don Juan of Persia.

Sir Anthony Sherley, whose relations with his Persian colleague had from the first been very strained, separated

''Don Juan of P**ers**ia." himself from the mission at Rome, but up to that point the independent accounts written by himself and some of his companions¹ enable us

to check Don Juan's narrative. Don Juan, however, having apostasized from Islám, dared not return to Persia to meet the fate of a renegade, so that for the tragic sequel we must turn to the Persian historians. In the 'Alam-árá-yi-'Abbásí under the year $1022/1613-4^2$ we find an account of the arrival at Isfahán of ambassadors from the King of Spain, accompanied by several Christian priests and a Persian envoy returning from Europe³. The latter, who had incurred the Sháh's displeasure, was incontinently put to death in the most cruel manner, without being permitted any opportunity for explanation or apology; and the Sháh then explained to the Spaniards that he had dealt thus with him because of sundry treasonable and disrespectful acts of

¹ See especially The Sherley Brothers...by one of the same House (Chiswick, 1828), pp. 22-35.

² F. 230 of my MS. marked H. 14.

³ Although the envoy is here named Dengiz Beg Shámlú with the title of *Yúz-báshí* (Captain), not Husayn 'Alí Beg, as in Don Juan's narrative (f. 120^b), there can, I think, be little doubt as to their identity.

CH. I] FALSIFICATION OF HISTORY

which he had been guilty during his mission, such as opening letters sealed with the royal seal and making known their contents; wearing mourning on the occasion of the Queen of Spain's death; and selling the credentials to the Pope with which he had been provided to a merchant who should impersonate him and derive what profit he could from the transaction. "But," the Sháh concluded, "the chief of his faults and the chief reason for his punishment was that he behaved so ill towards the attendants who accompanied him, and vexed them so much, *that several of them adopted the Christian faith and remained in Europe in order to escape from his tyranny*, so that zeal for Islám required his punishment, and thus he received his deserts."

Turning now to Ridá-qulí Khán's supplement to the Rawdatu's-Safd, a general history of Persia compiled about A.D. 1858, we find an account of the same event obviously copied, with very slight modifications, from the 'Alam-drdyi-'Abbásí, but with one important and most wanton alteration, for Sháh 'Abbás is there represented as saying that the chief of his ambassador's faults was that several persons were disposed to embrace Islám and come to Persia, but the Persian envoy treated them so ill that they repented of their intention, returned to the Christian faith, and remained in that country. For this deliberate falsification of history I can only account by supposing that Ridá-qulí Khán did not wish to encourage the idea that a Persian Muslim could possibly become a Christian; but the moral I wish to draw is that the later Persian historians must be used with great caution, and that every statement should, where possible, be traced to contemporary records.

Before leaving this subject, I must refer to an erroneous conjecture of Sir John Malcolm's arising from an inadequate

An error of Sir John Malcolm's.

use of the Persian sources. In the year 1002/ 1593-4, being the seventh year of Sháh 'Abbás's reign, Jalál, the Chief Astrologer, foretold dis. 8 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PT I

aster to the occupant of the Throne, and advised that the Sháh should abdicate for a few days and substitute for himself some person worthy of death on whom the prediction of the stars might be fulfilled. This was accordingly done, and a man named Yúsufí was made king for three days, at the conclusion of which he was put to death, and Sháh 'Abbás resumed the Throne. Sir John Malcolm¹ says that this Yúsufí, "whom Persian authors take care to tell us was an unbeliever," was "probably a Christian," but this is an error; he belonged to a heterodox Muslim sect called Nuqtawiyya ("People of the Point") who believed in metempsychosis and other heretical doctrines, and of whose appearance and destruction a full account is given by the 'Alam-árá-yi-'Abbásí' and reproduced in the Rawdatu's-Safá. It is therefore essential, if a true history of the Safawis is to be written, that we should go back to the original sources, and, as a preliminary, that these sources, at present existing only in manuscript, should be published.

The Persian histories, however, are only part of the material available for such a work: the numerous and in

Turkish sources of information.

some cases excellent Turkish chronicles, published and unpublished, dealing with this period, and especially with the Turco-Persian wars

which continued almost without intermission during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, constitute an indispensable supplement and corrective. Almost more important is Firídún Bey's great collection of Turkish State Papers entitled *Munsha'át-i-Salátín*, compiled some time before 991/1583 and published at Constantinople in two volumes³ in 1274/1858.

¹ History of Persia (London, 1815), vol. i, p. 527.

² Ff. 46^b-47^b of my MS. H. 14.

³ When this was written, I possessed only the first volume, which contains 626 pp. and comes down to the year 966/1558. By the kindness of my friend Husayn Dánish Bey I have since acquired the second volume also.

CH. I] TURKISH STATE PAPERS

The diplomatic correspondence contained in this valuable and insufficiently-appreciated book is arranged chronologically and is partly in Turkish, partly in Arabic, and partly in Persian. From the time of Tímúr onwards much of it is concerned with contemporary Persian affairs, and of the last half of the first volume a large portion consists of letters interchanged between the Sultáns Báyazíd II (A.D. 1482-1512), Salím I (A.D. 1512-1520), and Sulaymán I (A.D. 1520-1566) on the one hand, and Sháh Isma'íl (A.D. 1500-1524) and his son and successor Sháh Tahmásp (A.D. 1524-1576) on the other. There are also valuable journals of certain campaigns, such as that which culminated in the Battle of Cháldirán, so disastrous to the Persians, on August 23, 1514, wherein the movements of the Ottoman army and the incidents of their outward and homeward marches are chronicled day by day. Other State Papers, both Persian and Turkish, which exist only in manuscript, have hitherto remained practically unexplored¹.

A third class of materials of which it is impossible to overestimate the importance consists of the writings of

Contemporary European narratives,

Europeans who visited Persia during this period on diplomatic, missionary or commercial business. Thanks to the liberal attitude of Sháh

'Abbás the Great towards Christians, the number of these in his and the succeeding reigns was very large. The best general account of them and their works with which I have met is that given by the late M. Charles Schefer, in the Introduction (pp. i-cxv) to his edition of *l'Estat de la Perse en 1660*² by le Père Raphaël du Mans, Superior of the Capuchin Mission at Isfahán, a man singularly qualified by

¹ Some other very interesting State Papers from the *Dastúru'l-Inshá* of Sárí 'Abdu'lláh Efendi (d. 1079/1668) have also been published and annotated by the late M. Ch. Schefer in his *Chrestomathie Persane* (Paris, 1885), vol. ii, pp. 218–259 and rr_1-r_2 .

² Leroux, Paris, 1890, pp. cxv+465.

• -

12 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PT I

which even yet cannot be regarded in all cases as definitely solved. These problems group themselves under the headings of Nationality, Religion, Art and Literature, and in this order we shall now proceed to consider them.

NATIONALITY.

CH. IJ TURK AND PERSIAN IN A.D. 1512-20

name of Khatá'í, almost exclusively in Turkish¹. Ottoman hatred was directed against the heretical *Qizil-básh* as misbelievers, not as Persians (*Írání*), while the Persian language (*Fársí*) continued to hold its position as the polite idiom of literature and diplomacy. And though the ancient conflict between Írán and Túrán was femilier to all a lord in the

13

to the Turkish protagonist Afrásiyáb:

.. امّا بعد، این خطاب مستطاب از جناب خلافت مآبِ ما که قاتل الکفرة و المشرکین قامع اعداء الدّین مرغم انوف الفراعین معفر تیجان الخواقین سلطان الغُزاة و المجاهدین فریدون فر سکندر در کیخسرو عدل و داد دارای عالی نژاد سلطان سلیمشاه بن سلطان بایزید بن سلطان محمّد خانیم بسوی تو که فرمان ده عجم سپهسالار اعظم سردار معظّم ضحّاك روزگار داراب گیر و دار افراسیاب عهد امیر اسمعیل نامداری سمت صدور یافت...

[After the doxology] "But to proceed. This excellent address hath been issued on our part, we who are the Refuge of the Caliphate³, the slayer of the infidels and polytheists,

¹ See E. J. W. Gibb's *History of Ottoman Poetry*, vol. ii, p. 261, for a brief account of Salím's Persian *Diwán*, of which a most sumptuous edition, based on numerous MSS., by the late Dr Paul Horn, was printed in Berlin as a gift to the late Sultán 'Abdu'l-Hamíd from the ex-Emperor of Germany in 1904. A number of Sháh Isma'íl's Turkish poems are given in my MS. of the *Silsilatu'n-Nasab-i-Safawiyya*. See *J.R.A.S.* for July, 1921, p. 412, where other references are given.

² See Firídún Bey, vol. i, p. 381.

⁸ An interesting proof that, contrary to the views of Professor Nallino, the position of Caliph was already claimed by Sultán Salím, as it certainly was by his son and successor Sulaymán.

various forms of nationalism with which we are familiar at the present day. Language and race, which are the key-notes of the latter, played a very small part in it compared with religion. At no time was the mutual hatred of Turk and Persian more violent and bitter than during the eight years (A.D. 1512-1520) when Sultán Salím "the Grim," and Sháh Isma'il, the founder of the Safawi power, were the respective protagonists of the two nations. The despatches of this period, recorded by Firidún Bey, pass from the realm of diplomacy to that of vulgar abuse, and "rascally Red-heads" (Awbásh-i-Qizil-básh) is the politest expression wherewith the Turkish Sultán refers to his Persian foes. The cause of this intense hatred, equally adequate and obvious, will be discussed under the heading of "Religion," but it did not extend to race or language. When America entered the late War it was stated in the newspapers that in certain towns the people, to give vent to their hatred of everything German, collected all the German books they could find and burned them. No Turk or Persian of the sixteenth century would have given expression to his feelings of hostility in so puerile a fashion. On the contrary, it is a remarkable fact that while Sultán Salím and Sháh Isma'íl both possessed considerable poetic talent, the former wrote almost exclusively in Persian, and the latter, under the pen-

14 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PT I

the extirpator of the foes of the Faith, the humbler of the Pharaohs' pride¹, the tarnisher of the Kháqán's² crowns, the King of those who fight and strive for Religion, whose pomp is as that of Firídún, whose Court is as that of Alexander, whose justice and equity is as that of Kay-Khusraw, that Dárá of noble descent, Sultán Salím Sháh, son of Sultán Báyazíd, son of Sultán Muḥammad Khán, to thee, who art the ruler of the Persians, the most mighty general and puissant leader, the Daḥhák³ of the time, the Dáráb of the combat, the Afrásiyáb of the age, the famous Amír Isma'íl."

On the other hand I have only found one verse wherein Sháh Isma'íl is definitely identified with the Persian as contrasted with the Shí'a cause. This verse occurs in the *Ahsanu 't-Tawáríkh*⁴ and runs:

فروزنده مناجع و تخت کیان ، فرازنده اختر کاویان ، "The illuminator of the crown and throne of the Kayánians⁵, The upholder of the star of the Káwayán⁶."

For the rest, the seven tribes who formed the back-bone of the *Qizil-básh* army were, as their names Rúmlú, Shámlú,

Extensive use of Turkish under the Safawís. Mawsillú, etc., sufficiently indicate, almost exclusively Turkish, as were the principal officers of the Şafawí army, whose war-cry, as we learn

¹ Literally, "he who rubs in the dust the noses of the Pharaohs," alluding to Sultán Salím's conquest of Egypt and overthrow of the Mameluke dynasty.

² The Kháqán is the title given to the king of Túrán and the Turks. The word is, I believe, Mongol, and is identical with the alternative forms Qá'án and Khán.

³ Dahhák is the Azhi-daháka or Dragon-king of the Avesta, represented in the Sháh-náma as an Arab usurper.

- ⁴ Under the year 908/1502-3, f. 47^b of Mr A. G. Ellis's MS.
- 6 The second dynasty of the ancient legendary kings of Persia.

The second dynasty of the ancient regime by the revolt against the
Káwa was the patriotic blacksmith who led the revolt against the

• Kawa was the patriotic blacksmith who led the red in the red in the foreign usurper Dahhák, and whose leather apron became the national standard under the name of *Dirafsh-i-Káwayán*.

CH. I] TURKISH ELEMENTS IN PERSIA

from the rare history of Sháh Isma'ı́l¹, was not "Long live Persia!" or the like, but, in the Turkish language, "O my spiritual guide and master whose sacrifice I am!"

صدای قربان اولدیغم و صدقه اولدیغم پیروم مرشدم که شیوه و شعار فرقه ناجیه قزلباش است در میمنه و میسره کارزار انداختند

More than a century after Isma'il's death, when the capital had been transferred from the north of Persia to Isfahán, Turkish seems still to have been the language generally spoken at Court². These instances, to which might be added many more, will suffice to show how different was the spirit which animated the Safawi revival (though it undoubtedly produced that homogeneity which is the basis of national sentiment) from the Nationalism of the modern Pan-Turanians and "Young Persians," who put the extension and purification from foreign elements of the national language in the foremost place in their programme. At the present time the Turkish nationalists of Angora proclaim their new Caliph in Turkish instead of in the timehonoured Arabic, while Rídá Khán, the Persian military dictator, strives to introduce in his army a purely Persian military terminology.

RELIGION.

Although the Muhammadans, according to their own statements, are divided into seventy-two or seventy-three

Essential nature of the Shí'a doctrine.

different sects³, in later times at any rate, when certain controversies, such as those connected with Free Will and Predestination and the

¹ Add. 200, f. 41^a of the Cambridge University Library. See Sir E. Denison Ross's description of this book in the *J.R.A.S.* for 1896, vol. xxviii, pp. 264–283.

² See the second English edition of Olearius (London, 1669), p. 212.

See Shahristání's Kitábu'l-Milal, ed. Cureton, pp. 2-3.

Creation of the Qur'án, have sunk into a subordinate position, it may fairly be said that the capital and cardinal division is into the People of the Sunnat and the People of the Sht'a. Scattered communities of the latter are found in Asia Minor, Syria (where they are called Mutawalli, pl. Matáwila), India and other Muhammadan lands, but in Persia only is the Shi'a doctrine not only that held by the great majority of the people, but also the State Religion. Before considering how it was raised to this position by the Safawis about the year A.D. 1500, we must briefly consider its essential nature, and here we cannot do better than quote Shahristání, the learned author of the Kitábu'l-Milal, or "Book of Sects," who died in the middle of the twelfth century, and who writes of them¹ as follows:

"THE SHI'A .- They are those who took the side of (Sháya'ú) 'Alí in particular, declaring him to be Imám and

Shahristani cited.

Khalifa by explicit written deed, public or secret, and believing that the Imámate cannot quit his posterity; and that, should it do so, it is only

by reason of wrong wrought by another, or prudential renunciation on his own part². They assert that the Imámate is not a question of expediency but of principle: it does not depend on popular choice, so that an Imám can be set up by their appointment, but is an essential of Religion which it is not permissible for even the Apostle of God to ignore or neglect, and which cannot be transferred or committed to the common people. They are united in their assertion as to the necessity of such explicit designation [of the Imám on the part of his predecessor] and the established innocence of the Imáms of all sins, small or great, and also

² E.g. the second Imám, al-Hasan, elder son of 'Alí, ostensibly surrendered his rights "for prudential reasons" (taqiyya) to the Umayyad Mu'awiya, but he could not really divest himself of the sacred quality of Imám.

Сн. 1]

SHI'A APPEAL TO PERSIA

in their principles of recognition and repudiation, alike in word, deed and faith, save in cases of 'prudential concealment' (taqiyya), in which point, however, some of the Zaydís oppose them. As to the actual transmission of the Imámate, however, there is much discussion and difference of opinion, and at each such transmission and stage there is an argument, a doctrine and a schism. There are five [principal] divisions, the Kaysánis, the Zaydís, the Imámís, the Extremists (Ghulát) and the Isma'ilis, of whom some incline in their principles to the Mu'tazila, some to the Sunna and some to Anthropomorphism (tashbih)."

Put in a briefer, clearer and more concrete form, this means that all the Shí'a reject and repudiate the first three

Why the Shi'a doctrine especially appeals to the Persians.

of the "Four Orthodox Caliphs" (al-Khulafáu'r-Ráshidún), Abú Bakr, 'Umar and 'Uthmán, who were elected, and hold that 'Alí, the cousin of the Prophet Muhammad and the husband of

his daughter Fátima, should have succeeded him, and had in fact been nominated by him as his successor; and that after 'Alí the succession continued in his family by Divine Right. But even within this family there was no place for election, each Imám specifically choosing and nominating his successor, as the Prophet had chosen and nominated 'Alf. Amongst those who agreed in these general principles, however, there was plenty of room for disagreement as to details. Some of the Shí'a were content that the Imám should be descended from 'Alí, and were therefore ready to recognise Muhammad ibnu'l-Hanafiyya, "the son of the Hanafite woman"; others, including the "Sect of the Seven" or Isma'llis and the "Sect of the Twelve" or Imámis, with which last we are chiefly concerned, limited the succession to the children born to 'Alí by his wife Fáțima, the Prophet's daughter. With the third Imám Husayn, 'Alí's younger son by Fáțima, a new factor came into operation, for, according to quite early and respectable historians, such as

¹ Op. cit., pp. 108-9.

18 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PT 1

al-Ya'qúbí¹, a daughter of the last Sásánian king of Persia, Yazdigird III, was given to him in marriage and bore him a son named 'Alí and entitled Zaynu'l-'Abidín, who was the Fourth Imám, and who combined in himself direct descent from the Prophet through his daughter Fátima and from the ancient Royal House of Persia. Small wonder that to him and his descendants the loyal devotion of the Persians was so freely rendered!

Thus we see that the quarrel between Sunní and Shí'a is by no means one of names and personalities only, but of the essentially antagonistic doctrines of Demo-The difference between Shi'a and Sunni one of cracy and the Divine Right of Kings. The Arabs are, and always have been, in large measure principle, not democratic in their ideas, while the Persians merely of persons. have ever been disposed to see in their Kings divine or semidivine beings. And if the idea of a humanly-elected head of the State be repugnant, how much more that of an Imám, or Vice-gerent of the Prophet, chosen by popular suffrage? Hence the Imámí and Isma'ílí sects of the Shí'a have always had their stronghold in Persia, though under the Sunní Turkish dynasties of the Ghaznawis and Saljúqs they were kept in a state of subordination?. They were more favoured under the Buwayhids and some of the Mongols, notably Gházán and Khudá-banda (Uljáytú), but they first obtained unquestioned supremacy throughout the whole of Persia under the Safawis.

Who, then, were these Safawis, when did they so ve-Origin of the hemently adopt the Shi'a doctrine, and how did

safawis. they succeed in establishing their supremacy?

¹ He wrote about the end of the ninth Christian century, and his excellent history, edited by Houtsma, was published at Leyden in two vols. in 1883. See also vol. i of my *Lit. Hist. of Persia*, p. 229 and n. 2 ad calc.

² Abundant illustrations of this are furnished by such works of the Saljúq period as the Siyásat-náma and the Ráhatu'ş-Şudúr.

CH. I] ANCESTORS OF THE SAFAWIS

Şafawí is the adjective formed from Şafí, a notable Şúfí saint, named in full Şafiyyu'd-Dín, who died in Gílán in A.D. 1334 at the age of 85 in the odour of sanctity, and who claimed to be descended in the twentieth degree from Músá Kázim the seventh Imám¹. That he was really a man of note in his own time is proved beyond doubt by the way in which his contemporary, the great statesman and historian Rashídu'd-Dín Fadlu'lláh, speaks of him in his letters?, and also by the fact that an immense biography of him, the Safwatu's-Safá, was composed shortly after his death, largely from data supplied by his son Sadru'd-Dín, which has been used directly or indirectly by all the historians of the great dynasty whereof he was the ancestor. Sháh Isma'il, the actual founder of the dynasty, was sixth in descent from him, but I have found no evidence to prove that he himself adopted the violent Shí'a views characteristic of his descendants. The little evidence available points rather the other way, for in a letter written to Isma'il's son Sháh Tahmásp in A.D. 1529-30 by the Uzbek leaders, they say that, according to what they have heard, Shaykh Safiyyu'd-Dín was a good Sunní, and express their astonishment that Tahmásp "neither follows the example of His Holiness Murtadá 'Alí, nor that of his forefather'." Khwája 'Alí, grandson of Şafiyyu'd-Dín and great-great-grandfather of Sháh Isma'll, is the first member of the House who shows a strong Shi'a biast and holds converse in his dreams with the Imáms, and his grandson Junayd and his great-grandson Haydar are the first to assert their claims with the sword and to die on the field of battle.

At the beginning of the fifteenth century, then, the Safawis were simply the hereditary *pirs*, *murshids*, or spiritual

¹ For the full pedigree, see the J.R.A.S. for July, 1921, p. 397 and n. I ad calc. ² Ibid., pp. 417-18.

³ For the text of this passage, see p. 43 infra.

⁴ See the J.R.A.S. for July, 1921, pp. 407-8.

19

2-2

20 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PT I

directors of an increasingly large and important order of darwishes or Súfís which drew its adherents not only from

The Safawis originally a religious order.

Persia but from the Turkish provinces of Asia Minor, where they appear to have carried on an active propaganda¹. How successful this promised to become in later days is shown by the dreadful massacre of some forty thousand of the Shí'a perpetrated in his dominions by Sultán Salím "the Grim" as a preliminary to his great campaign against Sháh Isma'íl in A.D. 1514². To these devoted darwishes or murids, as their war-cry cited above (p. 15) sufficiently shows, the head of the Şafawí House, even after he had ceased to be a Shaykh and had become a Sháh, continued to be regarded as the pir or murshid. Chardin, Raphaël du Mans³, and other reputable authorities have scoffed at the title "Great Sophi,"

The term "Great Sophi."

by which the Safawi Sháhs are commonly designated by contemporary European diplomatists and writers, on the ground that the Súfís were

generally poor and humble people and of doubtful orthodoxy, despised and rejected of men, and unlikely to lend their name to the Great King of Persia. But in the Persian histories of the Safawis, even in the Silsilatu'n-Nasab compiled about the time when Raphaël du Mans wrote, and still more in the Ahsanu't-Tawáríkh and other earlier chronicles, the Súfís, especially the Súfís of Rúm (i.e. Turkey in Asia), are represented as the cream of the Safawi army; we read of "self-sacrifice, courage, and whatever else is inseparable from Súff-hood4" and of unworthy and disloyal acts described as "un-Ṣúfí-like" (ná-Ṣúfí). What, then, more natural than that he who was regarded not only as the Sháh of Persia

¹ See Gibb's History of Ottoman Poetry, vol. ii, pp. 227-8 ad calc.

² Ibid., p. 259, and pp. 71-3 infra.

³ L'Estat de la Perse en 1660, ed. Schefer, pp. 16-17.

⁴ See J.R.A.S. for July, 1921, the Persian words on the illustration facing p. 415.

сн. 1]

"THE GREAT SOPHI"

but as the Shaykh of these devoted darwishes or Súfís, whose courage amazed contemporary Venetian travellers, should be called in Europe "the Great Suff" or "Sophi"? At any rate no more probable origin has been suggested for this term, which can scarcely be regarded as a corrupt pronunciation of Şafawí.

It would appear that an idea prevailed in Europe (based, perhaps, on vague recollections of the Magi or Wise Men from the East) that Sophi was derived from $\sigma o \phi \delta s$, an opinion which Don Juan of Persia¹ is at pains to refute; for, having described how Sháh Isma'íl immediately after he had conquered Tabríz adopted the title of "gran Sophi de Persia," he adds: "no Sophi por sabio, como algunos mal entendieron, pensando que venia de Sópos vocablo Griego, sino de Sophi, que es vocablo Persiano, y quiere dezir, lana, ō algodon" ("Not Sophi in the sense of wise, as some have erroneously supposed, thinking it to come from the Greek word σοφός, but from Sophi, which is a Persian word meaning wool or cotton²").

The rapid rise to power of Isma'll is one of the most remarkable events in Persian history, especially in view of his forlorn and threatened childhood. His father, Shaykh Haydar, was killed in A.D. 1490 when he was only about three years of age³, and he and his two brothers, of whom the elder, Sultán 'Alí, also fell in battle about A.D. 1495, were in constant danger from the Turkmán rulers of the "White Sheep" dynasty, and had many hair-breadth escapes in which they owed their lives to the devoted loyalty of their faithful Súfís. Only seven of these accompanied Isma'íl when, at the age of thirteen, he set out from Láhiján for Ardabíl to win a kingdom or perish in the attempt, but at every

¹ Ed. Valladolid, 1604, f. 50².

² Krusinski agrees with this view. See p. 68 of the English version (London, 1728).

* He was born on Rajab 5, 892 (June 27, 1487).

22 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PT 1

stage he received reinforcements, so that at Tárum his army numbered fifteen hundred men, and by the time he reached Arzinján on his way to attack Farrukh-Yasár, king of Shírwán, it had increased to seven thousand. Within a year he had taken Tabríz, been crowned king of Persia, and, despite

Sháh Isma'il enforces the Shí'a doctrine at Tabríz.

the attempts of his counsellors to dissuade him, imposed the Shí'a doctrine on his subjects. He was warned that two-thirds of the people of Tabríz were Sunnís, and that the introduction

into the prayers and professions of Faith of the distinctively Shi'a clauses, and more especially the cursing of the first three Caliphs, Abú Bakr, 'Umar and 'Uthmán, might lead to trouble. "God and the Immaculate Imáms are with me," he replied, "and I fear no one. By God's help, if the people utter one word of protest, I will draw the sword and leave not one of them alive¹." He was as good as his word, and when the above-mentioned anathema was uttered all men were commanded, on pain of death, to exclaim, "May it (*i.e.* the curse) be more, not less !" (*Bish bád, kam ma-bád!*).

Ruthless and bloodthirsty as he showed himself, Sháh Isma'íl, as depicted by contemporary Venetian travellers,

Character of Sháh Isma'il.

had many attractive characteristics. At the age of thirteen he was, according to Caterino Zeno, "of noble presence and a truly royal bearing,...

nor did the virtues of his mind disaccord with the beauty of his person, as he had an elevated genius, and such a lofty idea of things as seemed incredible at such a tender age." Angiolello describes him as "very much beloved...for his beauty and pleasing manners"; and, when grown to man's estate, as "fair, handsome, and very pleasing; not very tall, but of a light and well-framed figure; rather stout than slight, with broad shoulders. His hair is reddish; he only wears moustachios, and uses his left hand instead of his right. He is as brave as a game-cock, and stronger than

¹ The original text is quoted on p. 53 infra, ad calc.

CH. I] SHÁH ISMA'ÍL'S CHARACTER

any of his lords; in the archery contests, out of the ten apples that are knocked down, he knocks down seven." The anonymous merchant, after describing Isma'fl's doings in Tabríz, adds "from the time of Nero to the present, I doubt whether so bloodthirsty a tyrant has ever existed," yet adds a little further on that at Caesarea "he caused proclamation to be made that everyone who brought provisions for sale should be liberally paid, and forbade his men, under pain of death, to take even as much as a handful of straw without paying for it, as it was a friendly city." He further describes him as "amiable as a girl, left-handed by nature, as lively as a fawn, and stronger than any of his lords," and says that "this Sophi is loved and reverenced by his people as a god, and especially by his soldiers, many of whom enter into battle without armour, expecting their master Ismael to watch over them in the fight."

The closest historical parallel to the Şafawi movement is, I think, afforded by the propaganda in favour of the

Parallel between Şafawî and 'Abbásid propaganda.

'Abbásids carried on by Abú Muslim in Persia " with so great a success in the first half of the eighth century of our era. Both were consciously religious and only unconsciously, though none

the less truly, racial; the chief difference was that the later movement had to confront in the person of the Ottoman Sultán Salím a far more energetic and formidable antagonist than the earlier in the Umayyad Caliph Marwán, and hence its more limited success; for while the 'Abbásid cause triumphed throughout almost the whole of the Eastern lands of Islám, the Safawí triumph was limited to Persia,

Why the Turco-Persian quarrel became so embittered at this time.

though without doubt at one time it threatened Turkey as well. Fear is the great incentive to cruelty, and it was chiefly fear which caused Sultán Salím to massacre in cold blood some

forty thousand of his Shf'a subjects. Fear, however, was not the only motive of this ferocity; with it were mingled anger

24 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PTI

and disappointment. For Sultán Salím was what is now called a Pan-Islamist, and his ambition was to be not merely the Sovereign of the greatest and most powerful Muhammadan State, but the supreme head of the whole Muslim world. His conquest of Egypt and the Holy Cities of Mecca and Madína in A.D. 1517, and his assumption of the title of Caliph, which, whether by threats or promises, or a combination of the two, he induced the last titular 'Abbásid Caliph to surrender to him, might well have given him this position but for Sháh Isma'íl and the barrier of heterodoxy which he had erected between the Turks, Egyptians and other Sunnís to the West and their fellowbelievers to the East in Transoxiana, Afghánistán, Balúchistán and India. The Persians not only refused to recognise Sultán Salím as Caliph, but repudiated the whole theory of the Caliphate. The Turkish victory over the Persians at Cháldirán in August, 1514, failed of its results owing to the refusal of the Ottoman troops to push home their advantage, and thus robbed the succeeding Egyptian campaign of its full measure of success, and left a lasting soreness which served greatly to weaken the political power of Islám and to impose a check on Turkish ambitions whereby, as we have seen, Europe greatly profited. Between A.D. 1508, when it was taken by the Persians, and A.D. 1638, when it was finally recovered by the Turks, Baghdad, once the metropolis of Islám, changed hands many times as the tide of these bitter and interminable wars ebbed and flowed, until the increasing weakness and effeminacy of the later Safawí kings left Turkey in undisputed possession of Mesopotamia.

ART AND LITERATURE.

One of the most curious and, at first sight, inexplicable Remarkable lack phenomena of the Safawí period is the extraordinary dearth of notable poets in Persia during the two centuries of its duration. Architecture,

CH. I] DEARTH OF POETS UNDER SAFAWIS

miniature-painting and other arts flourished exceedingly; the public buildings with which Sháh 'Abbás adorned his realms, and especially his capital Isfahán, have not ceased to command the admiration of all who beheld them from his time until the present day; and Bihzád and the other artists who flourished at the Tímúrid court of Herát found worthy successors in Ridá-yi-'Abbásí and his colleagues. Yet, though poets innumerable are mentioned in the Tuhfa-i-Sámí¹ and other contemporary biographies and histories, there is hardly one (if we exclude Jámí, Hátifí, Hilálí and other poets of Khurásán, who were really the survivors of the school of Herát) worthy to be placed in the first class. During the seventy stormy years of Tímúr's life there were at least eight or ten poets besides the great Háfiz, who outshone them all, whose names no writer on Persian literature could ignore; while during the two hundred and twenty years of Safawí rule there was in Persia, so far as I have been able to ascertain, hardly one of conspicuous merit or originality. I say "in Persia" advisedly, for a brilliant group of poets from Persia, of whom 'Urfí of Shíráz (d. A.D. 1590) and Ṣá'ib of Iṣfahán (d. A.D. 1670) are perhaps the most notable, adorned the court of the "Great Moghuls" in India, and these were in many cases not settlers or the sons of emigrants, but men who went from Persia to India to make their fortunes and returned home when their fortunes were made. This shows that it was not so much lack of talent as lack of patronage which makes the list of distinctively Safawí poets so meagre. The phenomenon is noticed by Ridá-qulí Khán in the preface to his great anthology of Persian poets entitled Majma'u-l-Fusahá², composed in the middle of the last century, as well

¹ This biography of contemporary poets by Prince Sám Mírzá, the son of Sháh Isma'íl, is another work which urgently needs publication.

^a Lithographed at Țibrán in two large volumes in 1295/1878.

26 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PT I

as by European scholars like the late Dr Ethé, who have written on Persian poetry; with this difference, that the European writers commonly speak of Jámí as the last great Persian poet, and consider that during the four centuries which have elapsed since his death Persia has produced no poet of eminence, while Ridá-qulí Khán, rightly as I think, places certain modern poets of the Qájár period, notably such men as Qá'ání, Furúghí and Yaghmá, in the first rank.

That no great poet should have arisen in Persia in days otherwise so spacious and so splendid as those of the Safawis

Reasons for this dearth of poets.

seemed to me so remarkable th**at** I wrote to my learned and scholarly friend Mírzá Muḥammad Khán of Qazwín, to whose industry and acumen

students of Persian owe so much, to ask him, first, whether he accepted this statement as a fact, and secondly, if he did, how he explained it. In reply, in a letter dated May 24, 1911, he wrote as follows:

"There is at any rate no doubt that during the Safawi period literature and poetry in Persia had sunk to a very

Mírzá Muḥammad Khán's views on this subject.

low ebb, and that not one single poet of the first rank can be reckoned as representing this epoch. The chief reason for this, as you yourself have observed, seems to have been that these kings,

by reason of their political aims and strong antagonism to the Ottoman Empire, devoted the greater part of their energies to the propagation of the Shí'a doctrine and the encouragement of divines learned in its principles and laws. Now although these divines strove greatly to effect the religious unification of Persia (which resulted in its political unification), and laid the foundations of this presentday Persia, whose inhabitants are, speaking generally, of one faith, one tongue, and one race, yet, on the other hand, from the point of view of literature, poetry, Súfíism and mysticism, and, to use their own expression, everything connected with the 'Accomplishments' (as opposed to the

CH. I] PERSECUTION OF SUFIS

'Legalities')¹, they not merely fell far short in the promotion thereof, but sought by every means to injure and annoy the representatives of these 'Accomplishments,' who were generally not too firmly established in the Religious Law and its derivatives. In regard to the Súfís particularly they employed every kind of severity and vexation, whether by exile, expulsion, slaughter or reprimand, slaying or burning many of them with their own hands or by their sentence. Now the close connection between poetry and Belles Lettres on the one hand, and Súfíism and Mysticism on the other, at any rate in Persia, is obvious, so that the extinction of one necessarily involves the extinction and destruction of the other. Hence it was that under this dynasty learning, culture, poetry and mysticism completely deserted Persia, and the cloisters, monasteries, retreats and rest-houses [of the *darwishes*] were so utterly destroyed that there is now throughout the whole of Persia no name or sign of such charitable foundations, though formerly, as, for instance, in the time of Ibn Batúța, such institutions were to be found in every town, hamlet and village, as abundantly appears from the perusal of his Travels, wherein he describes how in every place, small or great, where he halted, he alighted in such buildings, of which at the present day no name or sign exists. Anyone ignorant of the circumstances of the Safawí period might well wonder whether this Persia and that are the same country, and the creed of its inhabitants the same Islám; and, if so, why practically, with rare exceptions, there exists now not a single monastery throughout the whole of Persia, while in those parts of Turkey, such as Mesopotamia, Kurdistán and Sulaymániyya, which did not remain under the Safawí dominion, there are many such buildings just as there were in Ibn Bațúța's days.

"At all events during the Safawl period in place of great

و بقول خودشان هرچه معلّق بكماليّات بود (در مقابل شرعيّات) أ

28 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PT1

poets and philosophers there arose theologians, great indeed, but harsh, dry, fanatical and formal, like the Majlisis, the Muhaqqiq-i-thání, Shaykh Hurr-i-Ámulí and Shaykhi-Bahá'í, etc."

Most professional poets in the East are primarily panegyrists, and if Ridá-qulí Khán is correct in his assertion

Panegyrics on themselves little Safawi kings.

that the Safawí kings, especially Tahmásp and 'Abbás the Great, expressed a wish that laudaesteemed by the tory poems should be addressed to the Imáms rather than to themselves, another and a more

creditable cause for the diminution of poets in their realms is indicated. More material benefits were to be looked for from the Great Moghuls¹ than from the Imáms, and hence the eyes and feet of the more mercenary poets turned rather to Dihlí than to Karbalá. But to religious poetry commemorating the virtues and sufferings of the Imáms a great impetus was given in Persia, and of these poets Muhtasham of Káshán (d. A.D. 1588) was the most eminent. But, besides these more formal and classical elegies, it is probable that much of the simpler and often very touching verse, wherein the religious feelings of the Persians find expression during the Muharram mourning, dates from this period, when every means was employed to stimulate and develop these sentiments of devotion to the House of 'Alí and detestation of its oppressors. On the other hand the dramatisation of these moving scenes, which now form so remarkable a feature of the Muharram mourning (Ta'ziya), and are often described by European writers as "Miracle Plays," seems to have taken place at a much later period. That careful writer Olearius spent the month of Muharram, A.H. 1047 (May-June, 1637) at Ardabíl, the sanctuary of the Safawí family,

¹ The liberality of Humáyún towards poets and men of letters is especially noticed under the year of his death (962/1555) in the A hsanu't-Tawáríkh. This and the succeeding topics will be more fully discussed in a subsequent chapter.

CH. 1] PERSIAN "PASSION PLAYS,"

and gives a very full description of all that he saw, the mournings, wailings, lamentations and cuttings culminating on the 'Ashúrá, the tenth day of the month or Rúz-i-Qatl, but he makes no mention of any dramatic representations, so that it is pretty certain that none existed at that time. To elucidate this point I addressed enquiries to two wellinformed and intelligent Persian friends, Sayyid Taqí-záda and Mírzá Husayn Dánish. The former expressed the opinion that while the solemn recitations known as Rawdakhwání (i.e. the reading from the pulpit of the Rawdatu'sh-Shuhadá, or "Garden of the Martyrs," and other similar books) dates from Safawi times, the Ta'ziya-gardáni, shabih, or "Passion Play" was of much later date, and perhaps owes something to European influences. The latter also placed the origin of these "Passion Plays" (of which Sir Lewis Pelly's translations give a good idea to the English reader) about the end of the eighteenth or beginning of the nineteenth century, i.e. at the beginning of the Qájár period, and incidentally cited the following interesting verses by Shaykh Ridá-yi-Kurd in illustration of the view that the Persian dislike of 'Umar is due not less to the fact that he conquered Persia and overthrew the Sásánian dynasty than to his usurpation of the rights of 'Alí and Fáțima:

بشکست عُمَر پُشُت هربران اجمران بر باد فنا داد رك و ريشه جمرا : این عربده برغصب خلافت ز علی نیست،

با آل عهر ڪينه قديم است عجم را'

"'Umar broke the back of the lions of the thicket:

He cast to the winds the thews and sinews of Jamshíd.

This quarrel is not about the usurpation of the Caliphate from 'Alf: Persia has an ancient grudge against the House of 'Umar."

In conclusion we must not omit to notice another step taken by the Safawi kings which added greatly to the

 \sum

30 CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SAFAWI DYNASTY [PT I

consolidation of Persia and the prevention of a continued outflow of men and money from the country, namely the exaltation and popularisation of Mashhad, Qum and other holy cities of Persia, whereby the tide of pilgrims was to a considerable extent confined within the limits of their Empire, in which, as we have seen, the most sacred shrines of Karbalá, Najaf and Mashhad 'Alí were long included before they finally fell under Turkish dominion¹.

POSTSCRIPT.

I am indebted to my friend Mr H. L. Rabino, of H.B.M.'s Consular Service, for the following valuable notes on the celebration of the Muharram mourning at Baghdád as early as the fourth Muhammadan (tenth Christian) century. I have only the text of the two passages (one in German and the other in Persian): the reference was probably given in the accompanying letter (December 23, 1922), which has unfortunately been mislaid. I have an impression that they are taken from one of Dorn's articles, probably published in the *Mélanges Asiatiques*. The whole quotation runs as follows:

"Die 'ta'sieh' wurden in Baghdad i. J. 963 von der Buwaihiden Mu'iss-ed-daula eingeführt, wie uns Ahmed b. Abu'l-Feth in seinem Werke احسن القصص المز (Inscr. Mus. As. No. 567^a) berichtet."

بنای تعزیه سیّد الشهداء در بغداد در سنه ۳۰۲ هجری در تاریخ ابن کثیر شامی آورده که معزّ الدّولة احمد بن بویه در بغداد در دهه اوّل محرّم امر کرد تمامئ بازارهای بغدادرا بسته مردم سیاه عزا پوشیدند و بتعزیه سیّد الشهداء پرداختند چون این قاعده در بغداد رسم نبود لهذا علمای اهل سنّت آنرا بدعتی بزرک دانستند و چون بر معزّ الدّولة دستی نداشتند چاره

¹ See Krusinski, op. cit., pp. 159-161.

CH. I] THE TA'ZIYA IN EARLY TIMES

جز تسلیم نتوانستند، بعد از آن هر ساله تا انقراض دولت دیالمه شیعیان در ده روز اوّل محرّم در جمیع بلاد رسم تعزیه بجا می آوردند و در بغداد تا اوایل سلطنت طغرل سلجوقی بر قرار بود،

and the second second

31

"Institution of the mourning for the Chief of Martyrs in Baghdád in A.H. 352 [A.D. 963].

"It is related in the History of Ibn Kathír the Syrian that Mu'izzu'd-Dawla Ahmad ibn Buwayh issued orders in Baghdád that during the first ten days of Muharram all the bazaars of Baghdád should be closed, and that the people should wear black for mourning and betake themselves to mourning for the Chief of Martyrs [the Imám Husayn]. Since this procedure was not customary in Baghdád, the Sunní doctors regarded it as a great innovation; but since they had no control over Mu'izzu'd-Dawla, they could do nothing but submit. Thereafter every year until the collapse of the Daylamite [or Buwayhid] dynasty, this custom of mourning was observed by the Shí'ites in all countries during the first ten days of Muharram. In Baghdád it continued until the early days of the reign of Tughril the Saljúq."

CH. II EVIDENCE OF SHAYKH ŞAFÍ'S IMPORTANCE 33

ورد المعيدين

CHAPTER II.

THE CREATION OF THE SAFAWI POWER TO 930/1524. SHÁH ISMA'IL AND HIS ANCESTORS.

That Shaykh Safiyyu'd-Dín, the saintly recluse of Ardabíl from whom the Safawí kings of Persia derived their descent

Proofs of the fame, influence and greatness of Shaykh Şafiyyu'd-Dín.

and their name, was really an important and influential person in his own day, is a fact susceptible of historical proof. He who wins a throne and founds a great dynasty destined to

endure for more than two centuries is apt, if he be of lowly origin, to create, or allow to be created, some legend connecting his ancestors with famous kings, statesmen or warriors of old, or otherwise reflecting glory on a House which, till he made it powerful and illustrious, held but a humble place in men's esteem. But Sháh Isma'íl, sixth in descent from Shaykh Safí (as we shall henceforth call him for brevity), who founded the Safawi dynasty about the beginning of the sixteenth century of the Christian era, and raised Persia to a position of splendour which she had scarcely held since the overthrow of the ancient and noble House of Sásán by the Arabs in the seventh century, had no occasion to resort to these devices; for whether or no Shaykh Safí was directly descended from the seventh Imám of the Shí'a, Músá Kázim, and through him from 'Alí ibn Abí Tálib¹ and Fáțima the Prophet's daughter (and his

¹ The full pedigree is given (with only slight variants) in the Safwatu's-Safá, Ahsanu't-Tawárikh, Silsilatu'n-Nasab-i-Safawiyya and most other histories of this dynasty, and runs as follows: (1) Safiyyu'd-Dín Abu'l-Fath Isháq b. (2) Amínu'd-Dín Jibrá'íl b. (3) Sálih b. (4) Qutbu'd-Dín Ahmad b. (5) Saláhu'd-Dín Rashíd b. (6) Muhammad Háfiz b. (7) 'Awad al-Khawáss b. (8) Fírúzsháh-*i-Zarrínkuláh* b. (9) Muhammad b. (10) Sharafsháh b. (11) Muhammad b. claim is probably at least as good as that of any contemporary Sayyid), two facts prove that in his own time (the thirteenth century) he was highly accounted as a saint and spiritual guide.

The first and more important of these two facts is the concern shown by that great Minister Rashídu'd-Dín Fad-

The high esteem in which he was held by the Minister Rashidu'd-Din Fadlu'lláh. Iu'lláh for his welfare, and the desire to win his favour and intercession. In the very rare collection of the Minister's letters known as the Munsha'át-i-Rashidt' there occur two documents

affording proof of this. The first is a letter (No. 45 of the collection, ff. 145^b-149^a of the MS.) addressed to Shaykh Safiyyu'd-Dín himself, offering to his monastery (Khánqáh) a yearly gift of corn, wine, oil, cattle, sugar, honey and other food-stuffs for the proper entertainment of the notables of Ardabíl on the anniversary of the Prophet's birthday, on condition that prayers should be offered up at the conclusion of the feast for the writer and benefactor. The second (No. 49, ff. 161^a-169^b) is addressed by Rashid to his son Mír Ahmad, governor of Ardabíl, enjoining on him consideration for all its inhabitants, and especially "to act in such wise that His Holiness the Pole of the Heaven of Truth, the Swimmer in the Oceans of the Law, the Pacer of the Hippodrome of the Path, the Shaykh of Islám and of the Muslims, the Proof of such as attain the Goal, the Exemplar of the Bench of Purity, the Rose-tree of the Garden of Fidelity, Shaykh Safiyyu'l-Millat wa'd-Din (may

(12) Hasan b. (13) Muhammad b. (14) Ibráhím b. (15) Ja'far b. (16) Muhammad b. (17) Isma'íl b. (18) Muhammad b. (19) Sayyid Ahmad al-A'rábí b. (20) Abú Muhammad Qásim b. (21) Abu'l-Qásim Hamza b. (22) AL-IMÁM MÚSÁ AL-KÁZIM, the seventh Imám and fifth in direct descent from 'Alí and Fátima.

¹ See my article on the Persian MSS. of the late Sir Albert Houtum-Schindler, K.C.I.E. in the J.R.A.S. for Oct. 1917, pp. 693-4, and my Persian Literature under Tartar Dominion (hereinafter sometimes denoted as "Pers. Lit. iii"), pp. 80-87.

B. P. L.

34 CREATION OF THE SAFAWI POWER [PT I

God Most High perpetuate the blessings of His Holy Exhalations!) may be well pleased with and grateful to thee¹." These letters, and especially the second, which is filled with the most exaggerated praises of Shaykh Safí, sufficiently prove the high repute which he enjoyed amongst his contemporaries².

The second fact germane to our thesis is that comparatively soon after his death a most extensive monograph on his life, character, teachings, doctrines, virtues

The great monograph on his life entitled *Saf*watu's-*Safa*. and miracles was compiled by one of hisfollowers, the *darwish* Tawakkul³ ibn Isma'll, commonly called Ibnu'l-Bazzáz, apparently under the in-

spiration and direction of Shaykh Sadru'd-Dín, who succeeded his father Shaykh Safí as head of the Order and held this position for fifty-eight years (A.D. 1334-1392). This rare and important book has never been printed⁴, but is the chief source of all later accounts of the head of the family and dynasty, in most of which it is frequently and explicitly cited. A much later recension of it was made in the reign of Sháh Tahmásp (A.D. 1524-1576) by a certain Abu'l-

و نوعی سازی که جناب قطب فلك حقیقت، و سبّاح بحار¹ شریعت، مسّاح مضمار طریقت، شیخ الاسلام و المسلمین، برهان الواصلین، قدوه صُفّه صفا، گلبن دوحه وفا، شیخ صفیّ الملّة و الدّین ادام الله تعالی برکات انفاسه الشریفة از تو راضی و شاکر باشد،

² Shaykh Ṣafí died in 735/1334 at the age of 85. Rashídu'd-Dín was put to death in A.D. 1318 at the age of 70 or somewhat over.

³ Or Túklí (تُوصُلى) as it is written and pointed in a note in Mr Ellis's manuscript.

⁴ Since this was written I have obtained through the kindness of one of my Persian correspondents a copy of an excellent lithographed edition published at Bombay in 1329/1911, of the very existence of which I was ignorant when this chapter was written. сн. 11]

THE SAFWATU'S-SAFA

Fath al-Husayní. I have personal knowledge of only three manuscripts, Add. 11745 of the British Museum¹; No. 87 of the Pote Collection in the library of King's College, Cambridge²; and a fine copy made at Ardabíl in 1030/1621, now belonging to Mr A. G. Ellis, who, with his customary generosity, placed it at my disposal for as long as I required it. This exhaustive work comprises an Introduction, twelve chapters, and a Conclusion, each of which is divided into numerous sections³, and its contents are summarized by Rieu with his usual precision. It contains interesting matter, diluted by much that is wearisome save to a devoted disciple, and represents on a more extensive scale the type of hagiography familiar to all Persian students in such books as the Manáqibu'l-'Arifín of Aflákí, available in the English version of Redhouse⁴ and the French of Huart⁵. The extracts from it included in most later histories of the family, notably the Silsilatu'n-Nasab-i-Safawiyyas, will suffice to satisfy the curiosity of most readers, though a careful perusal and analysis of the original work would undoubtedly yield results of value, most of the anecdotes and sayings being vouched for by Shaykh Sadru'd-Dín. But before further discussing Shaykh Safí and his descendants something more must be said about his ancestors.

¹ See Rieu's Pers. Cat., pp. 345-6.

² See my Suppl. Hand-list, p. 137, No. 837.

⁸ Ch. viii comprises no less than 27 sections.

⁴ Prefixed to his metrical translation of the First Book of the *Mesnevi (Mathnawi)* of Jalálu'd-Dín Rúmí, published in Trübner's Oriental Series in 1881. The *Manáqib*, or "Acts of the Adepts," occupies pp. 3-135.

⁶ Les Saints des Derviches Tourneurs (Études d'Hagiographie Musulmane), vol. i (Paris, Leroux), 1918; vol. ii, 1922.

⁶ See my account of this rare and interesting work in the J.R.A.S. for July, 1921, pp. 395-418. Both Dr Babinger and M. Minorsky have called my attention to the fact that another MS. of this work at St Petersburg was described by Khanikoff in the *Mélanges Asiatiques*, i, pp. 580-583.

3-2